



CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 306284 3453 10/791,588 03/02/2004 Donovan Dale Mills **EXAMINER** 33042 03/24/2005 7590 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. CLARKE, SARA SACHIE (SEATTLE OFFICE) ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA **SUITE 4900** 3749

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

824	
81.	

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			38	MILLS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sara Clar		3749				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE M - Extensing after SI - If the pi - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. s, a reply within the state period will apply and wiy statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2005.							
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims	•						
4)⊠ 0 4, 5)□ 0 6)⊠ 0 7)⊠ 0	4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,8,9,15,16 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 and 10-14 is/are objected to.							
Applicatio	n Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[he oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docu Certified copies of the priority docu Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Eve the attached detailed Office action for	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s			∆ □	(DTO 443)				
2) D Notice (3) D Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on January 3, 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8, 9, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Righton (GB 1602196) in view of Nishihata (JP 60-178226).

Righton discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a heat shield 5.

Righton does not disclose a reflector having a handle, said reflector being movable between two positions, one position directing heat towards an opposite side of the combustion chamber in a direction more horizontal than vertical.

Nishihata discloses a radiant heater and teaches the use of a movable reflector 9 for the purpose of being able to change the direction of heat reflection. Reflector 9 includes a handle 8. As can be seen in Fig. 2, reflector 9 is movable between two different positions. All of the positions direct heat towards an opposite side of the combustion chamber in a direction more horizontal than vertical.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the catalytic heater of Righton with the movable reflector

having a handle as taught by Nishihata for the purpose of being able to change the direction of heat reflection.

Claims 15, 16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Righton (GB 1602196) in view of Ryan (US 1455551).

Righton discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a heat shield 5.

Righton does not disclose a reflector having a handle, said reflector being movable between two positions.

Ryan discloses a radiant heater and teaches the use of a movable reflector 1 above shield 3 for the purpose of being able to change the direction of heat reflection. See col. 1, fourth paragraph, which discloses that the reflector (shield 1) operates as a reflector. Reflector 1 includes a handle 5. As can be seen in Fig. 2, reflector 1 is movable between two different positions.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the catalytic heater of Righton with the movable reflector having a handle as taught by Ryan for the purpose of being able to change the direction of heat reflection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7 and 10-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Clarke whose phone number is 571-272-4873. The examiner normally can be reached Mon-Fri, 8:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus, can be reached at 571-272-4877. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Status information for an application is available from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications is available from Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. For questions on access to Private PAIR, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara Clarke ... Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

March 21, 2005